Application Serial No.: 09/670,900 Attorney Docket No.: 99-1008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Stuart A. Fraser, et al.

Application No. : 09/670,900 Confirmation No.: 7800

Filed : September 28, 2000

For : SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR TRANSFERRING

ITEMS WITH RESTRICTED TRANSFERABILITY

Group Art Unit : 3625

Examiner : James H. Zurita

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Applicants do not necessarily agree with or acquiesce in the Examiner's reasons for allowance as set forth in the Notice of Allowability, or with any other characterization or rejection of the claims that the Examiner may have made during prosecution of this application. Accordingly, this statement as well as any other statement, amendment, or silence on Applicants' part in no way means or implies that Applicants have in any way disavowed or abandoned any subject matter or claim scope as a result of the Examiner's characterizations or rejections.

In particular, regarding the Examiner's comments with respect to the Woolston reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,085,176) and claim 1:

- Applicants note that independent claims 13, 34, 53, 74, and 95 recite limitations different from claim 1; and
- Applicants interpret the Examiner's comments to mean that the Woolston reference neither anticipates nor renders obvious any of independent claims 1, 13, 34, 53, 74, and 95.

In addition, regarding the Examiner's comments with respect to the Seaman reference (U.S. Patent No. 7,451,109) and the Orwall reference (Wall Street Journal, Ticket Scalpers

Application Serial No.: 09/670,900 Attorney Docket No.: 99-1008

PATENT

Find a Home on the Web), because the Examiner does not make any reference to the claims, Applicants are unclear as to the meaning of the Examiner's comments that neither reference "anticipates nor renders obvious combinations as in applicants' Fig 1 and related text." Nonetheless, Applicants interpret the Examiner's comments to mean that:

- The Seaman reference neither anticipates nor renders obvious any of independent claims 1, 13, 34, 53, 74, and 95; and
- The Orwall reference neither anticipates nor renders obvious any of independent claims 1, 13, 34, 53, 74, and 95.

Respectfully submitted,

/Glen R. Farbanish/

June 16, 2009 Date

Glen R. Farbanish Reg. No. 50,561 (212) 294-7733